FILED

OCT 1 4 2010

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California

Oakland Division

No. CR-10-00423 PJH (DMR)
) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING GUILTY PLEA)

The magistrate judge recommends to the district judge that it accept defendant Pedro Velasquez' guilty plea entered in open court on October 14, 2010. Specifically, the defendant pleaded guilty by way of open plea (i.e., not pursuant to a plea agreement) to Count One of the indictment charging him with a violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326 (illegal reentry following deportation). The magistrate judge makes the following findings:

1. On the hearing date set forth above, with the assistance of counsel and Spanish language interpreter, the defendant waived his right to enter his guilty plea before a district judge and instead consented to enter his plea in a hearing in magistrate court. Specifically, the magistrate judge advised him that he had a right to plead guilty in district court and that under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 59, he could waive that right and consent to enter his plea in a plea hearing in magistrate court. The magistrate judge also advised him that it would issue a written

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CR 10-00423 PJH (DMR)
cc: Copy to parties via ECF, Nichole

report recommending that the district judge accept the guilty plea, that the district judge would review that recommendation and determine whether to accept the guilty plea, and that the district judge and not the magistrate judge would sentence him. The magistrate judge also advised him of the procedures (also set forth at the end of this recommendation) for challenging the magistrate judge's recommendation. The defendant then waived his right to proceed in district court in open court and in writing and consented to the magistrate judge's conducting the plea hearing.

- 2. The magistrate judge conducted a full plea hearing in the manner set forth in Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11. In particular, the magistrate judge reviewed, and the defendant acknowledged that he understood, the following: (a) the nature of each charge and the maximum penalties, as set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1) (G)-(L); (b) the effect of the advisory Sentencing Guidelines and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), see Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1)(M); and (c) the rights he was waiving by pleading guilty, see Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1) (A)-(F). The magistrate judge also determined that there was a factual basis for the guilty plea. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(3).
- 3. The magistrate judge also addressed the defendant personally in open court, and determined that the plea was voluntary and did not result from force, threats, or promises. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(2).
- 4. After the advisements set forth in Rule 11, and summarized here, the defendant pleaded guilty as summarized above. The magistrate judge found that he was fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that he was aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the guilty plea, and that the guilty plea was knowing and voluntary and supported by an independent factual basis. This court thus recommends to the district judge that it accept the defendant's guilty plea.
- 5. Any party may serve and file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of this order. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive a party's right to review. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b).

Case 4:10-cr-00423-PJH Document 25 Filed 10/14/10 Page 3 of 3

6. At the parties' request, this court set the matter for further proceedings before the district court on Wednesday, January 5, 2011, at 1:30 p.m. IT IS SO RECOMMENDED. Dated: October 14, 2010 ĐONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CR 10-00423 PJH (DMR)